

REMARKS

Claims 1-12, 19 and 20 remain pending in the present application. Claims 13-18, 21 and 22 have been cancelled. Basis for the amendments can be found throughout the specification, claims and drawings as originally filed.

REJECTION UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 102

Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Feigenbaum (U.S. Pat. No. 6,339,785). Applicants respectfully traverse this rejection. The Examiner has indicated that Claims 1-12, 19 and 20 were allowable. Thus, Applicants believe that this rejection was directed to Claims 13-18, 21 and 22 and not Claims 1-22. Applicants have cancelled Claims 13-18, 21 and 22. Reconsideration of the rejection is respectfully requested.

ALLOWABLE SUBJECT MATTER

Claims 1-12 and 19-20 are allowed. Since these are the only claims pending in the application, Applicants believe the application is in condition for allowance.

CONCLUSION

It is believed that all of the stated grounds of rejection have been properly traversed, accommodated, or rendered moot. Applicants therefore respectfully request that the Examiner reconsider and withdraw all presently outstanding rejections. It is believed that a full and complete response has been made to the outstanding Office Action and the present application is in condition for allowance. Thus, prompt and

favorable consideration of this amendment is respectfully requested. If the Examiner believes that personal communication will expedite prosecution of this application, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned at (248) 641-1600.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: December 13, 2005

By: 

Michael J. Schmidt, 34,007

HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.
P.O. Box 828
Bloomfield Hills, Michigan 48303
(248) 641-1600

MJS/pmg